

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

				1 0	
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,872 12/07/2000 7590 06/13/2002 John Lucas, Ph.D., J.D. Genset Corporation 10665 Strento Valley Road San Diego, CA 92121-1609		Jean-Baptiste Dumas Milne Edwards	78.US3.REG	9916	
		· 	EXAMINER		
			SISSON, BRADLEY L		
		;	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/731,87	2	MILNE EDWARDS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bradley L.		1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)∐ 2a)∐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) The	[,] nis action is i	ana final					
<i>'</i>	, —			accoution on to th	o morito ia			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· _	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	•	alastian rasu	iromont					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)[] 1	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[] 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)	objected to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[]]	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u>□</u> ap	proved b) disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No	(s)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872

Art Unit: 1634

Location of Application

1. The location of the subject application has changed. The subject application is now located in Group 1630, Art Unit 1634, and has been assigned to Primary Examiner Bradley L. Sisson.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 15-17, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide, classified in class 536,
 subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claim 9, drawn to an expression vector, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1; and claim, 10, drawn to a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
 - III. Claim 11, drawn to a non-human transgenic animal, classified in class 800, subclass 8.
 - IV. Claims 12-14, drawn to a method of making GENSET polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - V. Claims 18-23, drawn to biologically active polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - VI. Claim 24, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - VII. Claims 25-27, drawn to a method of determining whether a GENSET gene is expressed in a mammal, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - VIII. Claim 28, drawn to a method of determining whether a mammal has an elevated or reduced level of GENSET gene expression, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872

Art Unit: 1634

IX. Claim 29, drawn to a method of identifying a candidate modulator of GENSET polypeptide, classified in class 436, subclass 501.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a probe and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Inventions I, III, V, and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different biochemicals (Groups I, V and VI) and the invention of Group III is drawn to a life form.
- 5. Inventions III, IV, and VII-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

different inventions are all drawn to different methods that are comprised of different method steps and which result in different end products.

- 6. Inventions I and II and IV are related as product and processes of use (Groups I and II). The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products of Groups I and II can be used separately in a hybridization assay (Group I) as well as in gene therapy (Group II).
- 7. Inventions IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as by affinity purification for human serum.
- 8. Inventions VI and VII-IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in any one of the methods set forth in Groups VII-IX.

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. The nucleotide sequences that encode polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 242-482; and the nucleotide sequences set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1-241.
 - b. The polypeptides according to SEQ ID NO: 242-482 or are encoded by nuclcic acids according to SEQ ID NO: 1-241.
 - c. Expression vectors and host cells that comprise a nucleic acid that encodes a polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 242-482.
 - d. Non-human transgenic animal that comprises nucleic acid that encodes polypeptide according to SEQ ID NO: 242-482.
 - e. The polypeptide sequence to which the antibody specifically binds.
 - f. The method of Group VII wherein said method is:
 - i. A nucleic acid-based assay,
 - ii. An immuno-based assay
 - g. The method of Group VIII wherein said method is:
 - i. Polypeptide-based
 - ii. RNA-based
- 11. In addition to the above election of inventions (Groups I-IX), Applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (a single SEQ ID NO.), as appropriate

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872

Art Unit: 1634

(e.g., polypeptide sequence, nucleic acid sequence, etc.) for prosecution on the merits of the elected group, and to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Page 6

- 12. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 13. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 14. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 16. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/731,872 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (703) 308-3978.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

19. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner

B. L. Lisson

Art Unit 1634

BLS

June 11, 2002